

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/560,539	04/28/2000		Roy Curtiss III	3116-4355	3756
21888	7590	08/29/2003			
THOMPSO			EXAMINER NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK		
ONE US BA SUITE 3500	NK PLAZA	•			
ST LOUIS, MO 63101		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		0	1645	70
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	<i>'O</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/560,539

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Mark Navarro

Art Unit 1645

Curtiss et al

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the	e cover sh	eet with t	he correspondence address				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.									
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the									
_	date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statul	ory minimum	of thirty (30)	days will be considered timely.				
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).									
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	patonic to in adjustment. 300 07 0711 1.704(a).								
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	ion is	non-final						
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	tion of Claims								
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2-8, 12-22, and 32-37				is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 💢	Claim(s) 2-8, 12-16, 18-22, and 32-37				is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>17</u>				is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims		are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌	accepte	ed or b)□	$\center{constraint}$ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawin	g(s) be he	ld in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is	: a) 🗌 a;	oproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this	Office ac	tion.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:								
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e bee	n receive	ed.					
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e bee	n receive	d in Appl	lication No				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures 	au (Pi	CT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	•				
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the								
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic								
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona								
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priori	ty under	35 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4, □	Studential Co.	(07.5	4400 0				
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_			413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)				
_	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	_	Other:	onsiai ratent	Application (F10-192)				
_		٠. ــ							

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants amendment filed June 24, 2003, (Paper Number 19) has been received and entered. Claims 1, 9-11 and 23-31 have been canceled, consequently claims 2-8, 12-22 and 32-37 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Objections

1. The objection of claims 6 and 13 because each claim fails to end the sentence of the claim with the punctuation mark of a "period." is withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejection of claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is maintained.

It is noted that Applicant have indicated a willingness to submit a supplemental response with an amendment of the specification to comply with 37 CFR 1.809(d). However, until Applicants file a statement asserting that all rights to the deposit will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent, this rejection is maintained for reasons or record.

Art Unit: 1645

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure without complete evidence that the claimed biological materials are known and readily available to the public or complete evidence of the deposit of biological materials.

The specification lacks complete deposit information for the deposit of plasmid pMEG-771. It is not clear that the plasmid pMEG-771 is known and publicly available or can be reproducibly isolated from nature without undue experimentation.

Exact replication of a plasmid is an unpredictable event. Although applicant has provided a written description of a method for selecting the claimed plasmids, this method will not necessarily reproduce plasmids which are chemically and structurally identical to those claimed.

Because one skilled in the art could not be assured of the ability to practice the invention as claimed in the absence of the availability of the pMEG-771 plasmid, a suitable deposit for patent purposes, evidence of public availability of the pMEG-771 or evidence of the reproducibility without undue experimentation is required.

If the deposit has been made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, filing of an affidavit or declaration by applicant or assignees or a statement by an attorney of record who has authority and control over the conditions of deposit over his or her signature and registration number stating that the deposit has been accepted by an International Depository Authority under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, that all restrictions upon public access to the deposit will be irrevocably removed upon the grant of a patent on this application and that the deposit will be replaced if viable samples cannot be dispensed by the depository is required. This requirement is necessary when deposits are made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty as the Treaty leaves this specific matter to the discretion of each State. Amendment of the specification to recite the date of deposit and the complete name and full street address of the depository is required. As a possible means for completing the record, applicant may submit a copy of the contract with the depository for deposit and maintenance of each deposit.

Art Unit: 1645

If the deposits have not been made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, then in order to certify that the deposits comply with the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §1.801-1.809, assurances regarding availability and permanency of deposits are required. Such assurance may be in the form of an affidavit or declaration by applicants or assignees or in the form of a statement by an attorney of record who has the authority and control over the conditions of deposit over his or her signature and registration number averring:

- (a) during the pendency of this application, access to the deposits will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;
- (b) all restrictions upon the availability to the public of the deposited biological material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent on this application;
- (c) the deposits will be maintained in a public depository for a period of at least thirty years from the date of deposit or for the enforceable life of the patent of or for a period of five years after the date of the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited biological material, whichever is longest; and
 - (d) the deposits will be replaced if they should become nonviable or non-replicable.

In addition, a deposit of biological material that is capable of self-replication either directly or indirectly must be viable at the time of deposit and during the term of deposit. Viability may be tested by the depository. The test must conclude only that the deposited material is capable of reproduction. A viability statement for each deposit of a biological material not made under the Budapest Treaty must be filed in the application and must contain:

- 1) The name and address of the depository;
- 2) The name and address of the depositor;
- 3) The date of deposit;
- 4) The identity of the deposit and the accession number given by the depository;
- 5) The date of the viability test;
- 6) The procedures used to obtain a sample if the test is not done by the depository; and
- 7) A statement that the deposit is capable of reproduction.

As a possible means for completing the record, applicant may submit a copy of the contract with the depository for deposit and maintenance of each deposit.

If the deposit was made after the effective filing date of the application for patent in the United States, a verified statement is required from a person in a position to corroborate that the hybridoma cell line described in the specification as filed is the same as that deposited in the depository. Corroboration may take the form of a showing of a chain of custody from applicant

Art Unit: 1645

to the depository coupled with corroboration that the deposit is identical to the biological material described in the specification and in the applicant's possession at the time the application was filed.

Applicant's attention is directed to <u>In re Lundack</u>, 773 F.2d. 1216, 227 USPQ 90 (CAFC 1985) and 37 CFR §1.801-1.809 for further information concerning deposit practice.

3. The rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being vague and indefinite in the recitation of a "derivative." is withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The rejection of claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wright et al is maintained.

Applicants are asserting that because claim 17 depends from claims 15 and 16, which recite a second control sequence P_{trc}, and a second repressor, *LacI*, claims 16 and 17 necessarily possess these limitations. Applicants assert that any "modifications" of pMEG-771 would require inclusion of these limitations.

Applicants arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be fully persuasive.

Art Unit: 1645

Applicants arguments are not found to be fully persuasive in view of the disclosure of Wright et al.

Websters Dictionary 1984, defines "modification" as change or alter. Accordingly, the limitations set forth in claims 15 and 16 may be changed or altered as set forth by the limitation "modification." Consequently, the disclosure of Wright et al teaches each and every limitation, and is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

The claim is drawn to a microorganism comprising the vector pMEG-771, or modifications thereof, with a gene encoding an antigen.

Wright et al (Gene Vol. 49, pp 311-321, 1986) disclose of a microorganism comprising dual origin plasmids with an inducible origin of replication.

In view that Wright et al disclose of microorganisms comprising duel origin plasmids, the disclosure of Wright et al is deemed to be a "modification" of the vector pMEG-771, and consequently deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

For reasons of record in Paper Number 18, as well as the reasons set forth above, this rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 1645

Claims 2-8, 12-16, 18-22, and 32-37 are allowed.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Navarro, whose telephone number is (703) 306-3225. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM - 6:00 PM. The examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Lynette Smith can be reached at (703) 308-3909.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Art Unit: 1645

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should by faxed to Group 1645 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the official Gazette 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CMI Fax Center number is (703) 308-4242.

Mark Navarro

Primary Examiner

August 28, 2003